THE POLICY SCIENCES CENTER, INC.

Project Director: DR. LLOYD ETHEREDGE 7106 Bells Mill Rd. Bethesda, MD 20817-1204 Tel: (301)-365-5241 E-mail: lloyd.etheredge@policyscience.net

May 2, 2013

Dr. Claudia Goldin, President American Economic Association c/o Department of Economics Littauer Center 316 Harvard University Cambridge, MA 02138

Dear Dr. Goldin:

To follow up the IMF summit, in Washington, on Macro Economic lessons: Would you organize a senior delegation to meet with the National Science Board to request emergency funding for new R&D data systems and rapid learning?

We can do a better job to speed the return of economic health and sustained growth. The fundamental requirement is to give scientists all of the data that we need. This Grand Strategy has been producing breakthroughs at NIH: It can work for macro-economics.

The NIH Model: A Grand Strategy for Macroeconomics (Big Data + Rapid Learning)

The Obama Administration's rapid learning system for biomedical research uses a large N of comprehensive electronic health records. New "Everything Included" data systems (beginning at the molecular level) - pre-populated, linked, and curated at public expense - are available online, with all of the R&D data that researchers request. NIH already has reconceptualized the classification of diseases: once, the dependent variable in cancer was the physical site where a cancer appeared (e.g., lung cancer or breast cancer) and treatments were developed and evaluated for these classifications. Now, it appears (for example) that a half-dozen or more types of cancers might occur in the breast, each with its own complex causal pathways linked to the genetic profile and other characteristics of a particular patient. A series of recent articles in <u>The New York Times</u> outlines the historic promise of extraordinary improvements in treatment by a new Precision Medicine building on these insights.¹

The lesson underscores Nate Silver's comment about successful macroeconomic forecasting: Econometric mathematics is impressive but the data are crap, at least by the standards of new, eclectic, and linked behavioral data needed to understand and influence human behavior and win elections in Ohio. The cost-effectiveness (on a global scale) of scientific progress via this AEA initiative is obvious including, for the long-term growth of economic resources for NSF and its other scientific fields. An emergency allocation by NSF should have its highest priority.² To accelerate discovery, I suggest a maximum velocity design: New NSF-funded R&D data systems for rapid learning should be online, with analysis software and free computing time for initial analysis of smaller Reference Datasets, 24x7. The requests and exploratory recommendations of eclectic, multi-disciplinary planning groups should be implemented rapidly. New, convergent and reliable measures should identify and estimate changing coefficients quickly. Experiments for fast, cross-breeding communications and techniques such as crowd-sourcing and prizes should be added to the mix. Comparable G-20 data should be developed quickly. To accelerate discovery in this emergency phase, NSF's R&D system should pay to merge behavioral data from Mastercard, American Express, social media, Google, and other commercial sources of domestic and cross-national data.³

Looking Ahead: Integrated Complexity

We face obvious anxieties about intellectual control and retaining the achievements of older assumptions. However it may not be necessary for macro-economic policy prescriptions, and a new eclectic synthesis, to abandon such key assumptions as rational choice. For example: Many years ago, MIT invited me to teach an undergraduate course with a multi-disciplinary introduction to causal theories of human behavior. The majority of the thirty cross-disciplinary theories (in the enclosed "map") are, to economists, actually consistent with assumptions of individuals making rational choices. My point is straightforward: Breakthroughs - as NIH has been discovering - may not require paradigm shifts. They can arise from a better job of nailing-down the precise mechanisms and causal pathways affecting different sub-populations.

To implement this AEA-organized initiative social scientists have new and powerful allies and resources: a.) from the world of hedge fund managers whose passions for data, fast analysis, and competitive discovery create a new benchmark; b.) from computer scientists whose pioneering contributions to Big Data and biomedical research are transforming the future.

Missing Variables and Machine Learning

The mathematical challenge for a rapid learning Big Data system catalyzed by AEA leadership is straightforward: new, machine learning algorithms can reliably produce new insights and rapid NIHlevel breakthroughs only if we include all of the right variables at the beginning. It is vital to include a full, eclectic, range of ideas and data requests brainstormed across disciplines. (And to move quickly: We have been losing too much data.)⁴

Along with Robert Samuelson's report on the IMF conference, I enclose an Op Ed piece by Jeffrey Sachs (re a search for a new, eclectic synthesis), and an early, prescient letter by Robert Reischauer predicting that, in a changing world, the scientific limitations of government (public) economic data systems would get worse. [More than a decade ago Alan Greenspan also testified to Congress that macro-economists had reached the point of diminishing returns from analyzing and re-analyzing the limited set of economic statistics collected by the federal government.]

The enclosed posting (by Kalil and Green) to the White House Website suggests that, by analogy, a \$200 million emergency allocation to economists and other social scientists for rapid learning may be reasonable. (However, the success of an AEA initiative is, perhaps, one of the ultimate public goods: We should ask for everything that social scientists need.)

If I can help, please call me at 301-365-5241.

With best regards,

Jud Etheredye

Dr. Lloyd S. Etheredge, Director Government Learning Project

cc: President-elect Nordhaus; AEA Committee on Economic Statistics

Enclosures

- Robert Samuelson, "The End of Macro Magic," <u>Washington Post</u>, April 21, 2013
- Jeffrey Sachs, "We Must Look Beyond Keynes to Fix Our Problems," <u>Financial Times</u>, December 17, 2012.
- Tom Kalil and Eric Green, "Big Data is a Big Deal for Biomedical Research," www.whitehouse.gov, April 23, 2013. Re \$200 million and a \$40 million BD2K initiative.
- Lloyd Etheredge, The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Trays and map.
- Robert Reischauer, Letter to the author, December 2002.

Notes

1. By analogy: New and simple "Magic Bullets" for economic recovery may be discovered but the cumulative power of rapid learning also may be, on the NIH model, to achieve cumulative success by refined policies that affect a half-dozen variables for each sub-group in each (possibly, new) classification of economic actors.

2. If the coefficients that physical sciences rely upon were changing, NSF would make such an emergency allocation of funds and shift into a fast discovery mode. If AEA makes the case, the National Science Board should agree to an equivalent investment.

3. A new NSF Deputy Director for Innovation and Economic Growth also can assure high-level leadership and followup within NSF.

4. For example, concerning the other social sciences and the poorly modeled mechanisms of fear (and causal pathways for restored confidence) associated with economic crises: For more than a

half century of mass media, Americans derived their sense of reality from three sober sources of consensus news - television networks that were governed by public licensing and expectations for professional journalism (ABC, NBC, and CBS). Today, a very large percentage of Americans have their sense of national reality created via Fox News, which ("Keep Fear Alive") is informed by political strategies aimed at a Republican base and traffics in anxiety and controversy. (The PBS Newshour only has about a 2%-3% market penetration and the <u>Wall Street Journal</u> even less.) If we are concerned about economic recovery and the "confidence" of different groups, an obvious multi-disciplinary recommendation is to look at these new causal pathways and psychological effects of media-shaped public dramas.

The End of Macro Magic

By Robert J. Samuelson

Published: April 21, 2013. Washington Post

The International Monetary Fund recently held a conference that should concern most people despite its arcane subject — "Rethinking Macro Policy II." Macroeconomics is the study of the entire economy, as opposed to the examination of individual markets ("microeconomics"). The question is how much "macro" policies can produce and protect prosperity. Before the 2008-09 financial crisis, there was great confidence that they could. Now, with 38 million unemployed in Europe and the United States — and recoveries that are feeble or nonexistent — macroeconomics is in disarray and disrepute.

Among economists, there is no consensus on policies. Is "austerity" (government spending cuts and tax increases) self-defeating or the unavoidable response to high budget deficits and debt? Can central banks such as the Federal Reserve or the European Central Bank engineer recovery by holding short-term interest rates near zero and by buying massive amounts of bonds (so-called "quantitative easing")? Or will these policies foster financial speculation, instability and inflation? The public is confused, because economists are divided.

Perhaps the anti-economist backlash has gone too far, as George Akerlof, a Nobel Prize-winning economist, argued. The world, he said, avoided a second Great Depression. "We economists have not done a good job explaining that our macro policies worked," he said. Those policies included: the Fed's support for panic-stricken financial markets; economic "stimulus" packages; the Troubled Assets Relief Program (TARP); the auto bailout; "stress tests" for banks; international cooperation to augment demand.

Fair point. Still, the subsequent record is disheartening. The economic models that didn't predict the crisis have also repeatedly overstated the recovery. The tendency is to blame errors on one-time events — say, in 2011, the Japanese tsunami, the Greek bailout and the divisive congressional debate over the debt ceiling. But the larger cause seems to be the models themselves, which reflect spending patterns and behavior by households and businesses since World War II.

"The events [stemming from] the financial crisis were outside the experience of the models and the people running the models," Nigel Gault said in an interview. (Gault, the former chief U.S.

economist for the consulting firm IHS, was not at the conference.) The severity of the financial crisis and Great Recession changed behavior. Models based on the past don't do well in the present. Many models assumed that lower interest rates would spur more borrowing. But this wouldn't happen if lenders — reacting to steep losses — tightened credit standards and potential borrowers — already with large loans — were leery of assuming more debt. Which is what occurred.

"We really don't understand what's happening in advanced economies," Lorenzo Bini Smaghi, a former member of the ECB's executive board, told the conference. "Monetary policy [policies affecting interest rates and credit conditions] has not been as effective as we thought." Poor economic forecasts confirm this. In April 2012, the IMF predicted that the euro zone (the 17 countries using the euro) would expand by 0.9 percent in 2013; the latest IMF forecast, issued last week, has the euro zone shrinking by 0.3 percent in 2013. For the global economy, the growth forecast for 2013 dropped from 4.1 percent to 3.3 percent over the same period.

Since late 2007, the Fed has pumped more than \$2 trillion into the U.S. economy by buying bonds. Economist Allan Meltzer asked: "Why is there such a weak response to such an enormous amount of stimulus, especially monetary stimulus?" The answer, he said, is that the obstacles to faster economic growth are not mainly monetary. Instead, they lie mostly with business decisions to invest and hire; these, he argued, are discouraged by the Obama administration's policies to raise taxes or, through Obamacare's mandate to buy health insurance for workers, to increase the cost of hiring.

There were said to be other "structural" barriers to recovery: the pressure on banks and households to reduce high debt; rigid European labor markets; the need to restore global competitiveness for countries with large trade deficits. But these adjustments and the accompanying policies are often slow-acting and politically controversial.

The irony is rich. With hindsight, excessive faith in macroeconomic policy stoked the financial crisis. Deft shifts in interest rates by central banks seemed to neutralize major economic threats (from the 1987 stock crash to the burst "tech bubble" of 2000). Prolonged prosperity promoted a false sense of security. People — bankers, households, regulators — tolerated more risk and more debt, believing they were insulated from deep slumps.

But now a cycle of overconfidence has given way to a cycle of under-confidence. The trust in macroeconomic magic has shattered. This saps optimism and promotes spending restraint.

Scholarly disagreements multiply. Last week, a feud erupted over a paper on government debt by economists Kenneth Rogoff and Carmen Reinhart. The larger lesson is: We have moved into an era of less economic understanding and control.

Figure 1.

A MAP FOR THE ANALYSIS OF ONE INDIVIDUAL'S TRAY-LEAVING BEHAVIOR



The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Trays

An Investigation Based Upon Theories of Motivation and Human Behavior.

Lloyd S. Etheredge



THE CASE OF THE UNRETURNED CAFETERIA TRAYS

Lloyd S. Etheredge

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

American Political Science Association 1527 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 The preparation of *The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Trays* was supported by Grant GY 9351 from the National Science Foundation to the American Political Science Association for a project to improve undergraduate education in political science. Sheilah R. Koeppen, Director of the Division of Educational Affairs, is the project director. Responsibility to the profession for the project activities, 1972-1975, is exercised by this Steering Committee on Undergraduate Education:

Vernon Van Dyke, Chairman, University of Iowa Vincent J. Browne, Jr., Howard University Gloria Carrig, Loop College, City Colleges of Chicago Martin Diamond, Northern Illinois University Heinz Eulau, Stanford University Betty Nesvold, California State University, San Diego Jack Peltason, Chancellor, University of Illinois Ithiel de S. Pool, Massachusetts Institute of Technology James A. Robinson, President, University of West Florida Stanley Rothman, Smith College

This monograph has been commissioned by the Steering Committee on Undergraduate Education. It has been reviewed by three qualified persons, including a member of the Steering Committee. The monograph is published under the auspices of the Division of Educational Affairs. However, the views expressed are those of the contributors and not of the Steering Committee of the American Political Science Association. **Table of Contents**

Foreword		•	•	•	•	. iv
Acknowledgments		•	•	•	•	. vi
Introduction		•			•	. 1
I. The Cybernetic Model					•	. 3
II. Social Learning		•			•	. 6
III. Psychoanalytic Theories						10
IV. Dramaturgical and Role Theory ("All the World's a Stage"	').	•				18
V. Humanistic Psychology		•			•	23
VI. Specialized Theories						25
VII. Field Theory: Different Strokes for Different Folks?		•				29
VIII. Thirty Theories in Search of Reality		•				31
Additional Readings						36
Analysis Topics-The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Trays						38

© 1976 by The American Political Science Association, 1527 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, under International and Pan-American Copyright Conventions. All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means without permission in writing from the publisher. Printed in the United States of America.

ISBN 0-915654-16-4

'ii

1.0

sociology, and even economics. In *The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Trays* Lloyd Etheredge poses a problem and alternative solutions by way of engaging students in explorations of alternative interpretations of motivations. *The Case* includes readings and exercises for students to apply theories to analyze problems in political life.

> Evron M. Kirkpatrick Executive Director American Political Science Association June 1976

Foreword

Among the major activities of the American Political Science Association, the publication of the American Political Science Review and the Annual Meeting provide for exchange of information about research. Other major activities aim to adapt research to teaching needs, particularly at the undergraduate level.

Since the Association's establishment in 1904, there has always been a committee concerned with undergraduate education and, in each decade, an education committee has issued a report recommending instructional goals and strategies. Today, we have a different concept of useful educational activity; the Association is helping prepare instructional materials that can be utilized by teachers and students. The regional seminars for college teachers in the 1960s, supported by a grant from the Ford Foundation, were a notable first effort of this sort. The seminars helped teachers locate and use new sources of course materials and different methods of instruction. Several hundred political scientists participated in these seminars.

At the end of 1972, with the support of a grant from the National Science Foundation, the Association established a Division of Educational Affairs and began to develop publications providing teachers and students with instructional guides and useful materials. DEA NEWS for Teachers of Political Science, a newspaper received by all Association members; SETUPS, the student learning materials that introduce data analysis techniques and the Instructional Resource Monographs are the initial publications.

Each Instructional Resource Monograph is a guide to source materials or a method of instruction, and is designed primarily for faculty. The fifth monograph, U.S. Census Data for Political and Social Research, is accompanied by a manual for students. The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Trays is another student manual designed to facilitate faculty presentations of source material.

As political science selectively adapts theories and analytical techniques from other social sciences, it is appropriate that political science students learn theories of human behavior, from psychology, social psychology,

Acknowledgments

An early graduate seminar with Harold Lasswell helped to clarify and direct my developing interest in the policy science approach to improving American society. Teaching undergraduate courses in social and political psychology gave me the opportunity to develop the present monograph as a series of lectures. My colleagues Ken McVicar, Geoff Nelson, Jeffrey Pressman, Hayward Alker, and Martha Weinberg aided me with critical comments and useful advice. Eleanor Benson, Jacki Baizley, and Gail Lopata typed the manuscript.

Among the catalysts of this monograph was the pleasure, several years ago, when I read Robert K. Merton's On the Shoulders of Giants: A Shandeun Postscript. More recently, Graham Allison's Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis illustrated the benefits of explicating the images used in policy decision-making.

I want to express a personal debt of gratitude to the M.A.S.C. workshops and to their creator and first director, Felix Simon. The friendships and experiences during my eight years of association were deeply rewarding and were an important catalyst in my understanding of human behavior and the problems of effective leadership.

Finally I want to express my appreciation to the National Institute of Mental Health. Their fellowship support to me through Yale's Psychological Study of Politics program was instrumental in facilitating the interdisciplinary work that was an important part of my education. My thanks as well to Robert E. Lane and John B. McConahay, two men who helped to create and shape that program.

Introduction

Some people hope that "better human understanding" will eliminate coercion and inhumane practices in our world as well as alleviate a wide range of social problems: academic underachievement, use of hard drugs, drunken driving, alcoholism, crime, mental illness, sexism, racism, industrial pollution, and war are but a few examples where the hope has been expressed that better knowledge will help. Perhaps it will. But, if this is to come to pass, we must direct the knowledge of the social sciences toward fashioning better practical alternatives for the organization and conduct of our society.

I have chosen a simple problem to analyze in this way, an example from high school. It has seemed rather easy for my students to identify with the problem: high school is a shared experience in our society, and most high schools seem to have a cafeteria problem. But the analysis presented here is also a prototype of thinking that can be applied (with modifications and elaborations) to many of our social problems. This kind of thinking is, I would submit, useful: a more humane society will be simply the aggregate of all of us finding ways to be more humane and effective in our lives. Perhaps we can profit from stepping back and thinking about how to design institutions and create practices that make this possible.

Over ten years ago, when I was President of my high school Student Council, I was confronted with a problem in human behavior which I still find mysterious. I did not know what to do at the time and, as I have learned more about the complexities of human behavior and about the different theories and viewpoints for analyzing it, I still am not sure about the reasons for the problem, or what I, as a social scientist, would now recommend if I were called upon to give advice.

The situation was this: at my high school it had developed that some students who ate lunch in the cafeteria (almost all of the 2,200 students) were not taking back their trays to the dirty dish room but instead were departing for their classes leaving collections of trays, dirty dishes, and trash on the tables. Not all students were doing this—it was only a minority. But, by the end of the lunch shifts (there were six of them), the cafeteria was a mess. And, as the principal pointed out when he called me to his office, it did take several man-hours of work by the cafeteria staff to make the place respectable again. Quite naturally, the cafeteria staff was angry and pressuring the principal to do something. And he wanted us (the Student Council) to do something.

Here, then, is our puzzle: what are the causes of this behavior? And what could be done to resolve the problem? The reader should be alerted that I now intend to illustrate a range of plausible answers to these questions by drawing systematically upon theories which social scientists use in thinking about behavior. This is, however, a theoretical paper: it dues not solve the mystery of the unreturned cafeteria trays--that is a task for research. There will be no climax or grand finale. The characters and scenery along the way are all there is.

I. The Cybernetic Model

The cybernetic model imagines man as a goal-seeking animal who guides his behavior on the basis of information *feedback* from the environment. The notion of feedback can be illustrated by the example of a radar-controlled missile fired at a moving airplane: as the plane alters its course, radar impulses from the missile, bouncing off the plane, tell the missile how it should correct its flight so it will hit the target.³

It is possible, of course, to think of a variety of goals which a human being might try to achieve. For the sake of simplicity (and because the assumption is often made in applying cybernetic theory) let us assume that human beings would act laudably (return their trays) except for faulty feedback.

1. Ignorance of Expectations

The first explanation suggested by the cybernetic model is that students who do not return their trays might be ignorant of the expectations of the school. Perhaps they do not realize (because no one ever told them) that they should, return their trays. Students would go along with the desires of the school administration if they knew what the expectations were, if the "lack of feedback" were corrected. The solution would be simple: tell them of the expectations.

2. "They Know Not What They Do" (Ignorance of Consequences)

A second and related explanation suggested by the cybernetic model is that students who do not return their trays might be unaware of the consequences of their behavior (the accumulated piles of trays, dislues, and trash at the end of the lunch shifts, the extra work for the cafeteria staff).

³See Norbert Weiner (1962) Cybernetics, 2nd ed. Cambridge, New York: MIT Press and Wiley: and Karl Deutsch (1963) The Nerves of Government: Models of Political Communication, New York: Free Press of Glencos.

If this explanation is correct, then the problem could be ended by a different policy choice—for example by taking classes on tours of the accumulated mess or by presenting the cafeteria manager to explain the situation over the public address system.

I have titled this second idea, "They Know Not What They Do," because the phrase is reminiscent of the last words of Christ on the cross: "Father, forgive them, for they know not what they do."² Cybernetic theories, as they are usually employed, are very forgiving theories. It is not something about individuals which should be blamed, rather it is something about the faulty information feedback mechanisms of their environment.

I should tell you that the cybernetic model was the one adopted by our student council. We did not believe the first hypothesis was true, that students were unaware of the school's expectations. But we were hopeful that, if they became aware of the problems caused by tray-leaving, most students would take back their trays.

I have stressed the word *hopeful* in the last sentence because I must confess that we were unsure that better feedback would be a cure. In part our advice was purely political: we did not want to be a "lackey" of the administration or have any role in policing other students. Yet we had to make some response to the principal's request for assistance if we were to maintain a good working relationship with him. The cybernetic model was a creative compromise to the pressures we were under. We would appear to be doing something without getting involved in coercion.³ Then too, we were young, idealistic, and had an esthetic aversion to coercion.⁴

It might be useful to point out, in passing, that cybernetic theory does have a certain resonance with the assumptions of liberal political views, for example with the belief that people will act well if they are given enough education. Scientists and teachers generally, I think, have this kind of model in the back of their minds in justifying their work: they implicitly assume (as I do, in a way, in writing this monograph) that if people have better differentiated and more sophisticated "maps" of their social environment, if they know what effects are brought about by what causes, then they will act more humanely in the long run.

³On the way in which such role conflicts can produce attitudes see, for example, F. X. Sutton et al., *The American Business Creed* (1956) Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

⁴On the place of esthutic sensibility in generating an aversion to coercion see Sigmund Freud (1933) "Why War?" The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud, vol. XXII, London: Hogerth Press, 203-216. My high school principal, as you might guess, did not think well either of our recommendations based on cybernetic theory or of our faith in the good will of human nature. He was, centrally, a social learning theorist (by instinct, I think, rather than as a result of any knowledge of the experimental literature). Let me turn, then, to this behavior reinforcement model.

² Luke 23:34.

taking their trays back: they are not paid to do it (it does take effort to walk to the other end of the cafeteria and stand in line for a minute or so). Some sages have argued that "virtue is its own reward" but these people are not going to be conned: to them, virtuous conduct requires more than the reward it provides.

My principal, very much a social learning theorist, decided the solution lay in increasing the costs to be incurred by wrongdoers. He adopted a random terror approach. Teacher monitors were placed in the cafeteria; these teachers were most annoyed at spending their lunch hours in a noisy, crowded cafeteria on monitor duty, and they let the students they caught know—in no uncertain terms—what socially objectionable persons they were for not returning their trays. In addition to this criticism, repeat offenders were also subject to the famillar repertoire of high school discipline (detention, suspension, parent conferences, etc.).

This attempted solution to the problem did have a modest effect in getting trays returned. It had this effect, however, at a certain cost—an increase in the irritability of teachers and a police state atmosphere in the cafeteria. The most important benefit, from the principal's point of view, was probably political and symbolic:⁶ the cafeteria staff felt he was acting firmly, that he was "doing the best he could" in the situation. His seemingly decisive action made the cafeteria staff more willing to put up with clearing the remaining trays.

I think it would be unfair to behavior reinforcement theorists, however, to suggest that they would all endorse my principal's actions.⁷ In general, behavior reinforcement research suggests that *rewards* may be more effective in changing behavior than punishments. But, even if my principal knew this, I think he would have chosen the punishment route because he simply had no rewards he could offer: certainly he had no money to pay students, and parents would not have accepted the solution of giving "good" students time off from school or higher grades. In fact I am at a loss, even now, to imagine what rewards a high school principal could give that his students would want: I do not picture the people I went to high

II. Social Learning

The social learning model imagines man as a hedonistic, reward-seeking punishment-avoiding animal. Behavior is the result of the rewards or punishments a man expects in the situation that confronts him, an expectation resulting partly from his past history of reinforcement (behavior which has been rewarded continues, behavior which has been punished decreases).⁶ In research with animals it is usually assumed that food is a positive reinforcer if the animal is hungry, that electric shocks are punishment. It is more complex, in dealing with ordinary human behavior, to determine what will be a reward and what will be a punishment—but usually such things as money, praise, and social acceptance are thought to be rewards while economic costs or criticism from other people are punishments. The model suggests several explanations:

3. Too Permissive an Upbringing

6

Those students who do not return their trays come from homes where they always were rewarded whether they took their dishes back to the kitchen or not. The student tray-leavers, overly pampered and spoiled as children, were not properly conditioned.

4. "What's In It For Me?" (or) "Virtue Doesn't Pay"

Closely related to the preceding hypothesis is the hypothesis that those students who have not been "properly conditioned" also see a net cost in

⁶Murray Edelman (1964) The Symbolic Uses of Politics, Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

⁷It is interesting to note, as an aside, that the broad application of behavior reinforcement principles in the classroom now seems to be well underway, sibelt at a time when the cutting edge of research shows major problems with such applications. A recent review concludes: "The past 2 years have been bed ones for those of us who eltempt to apply traditional principles of learning to instruction. Thorndike's principles of learning seem to be crumbling.... In fact, or the principles confidently enumerated by Skinner in The Science of Learning and the Art of Teaching now turns out to be untrue—at least in as general a sense as he believed at that time." Wilbert McKeachie (1974) "Instructional Psychology, Vol. 25 Palo Alto: Annual Review of Psychology, Vol. 25 Palo Alto: Annual Review of Psychology, Vol. 25 Palo Alto: Annual Review of Psychology.

⁵See for example Morton Deutsch and Robert Krauss (1965) Theories in Social Psychology, New York: Basic Books, chapter 4; Albert Bandura and R. H. Walters (1963) Social Learning and Personality Development, New York: Holt, Rinshart & Winston; B. F. Skinner (1948) Walden Two, New York: Macmillan, (1953) Science and Human Behavior, New York: Macmillan, (1971) Beyond Freedom and Dignity, New York: Knop1; George C. Homans (1974) Social Behavior: Its Elementary Forms, New York: Bracourt, Brace Jovanovich, rev. ed.; and Evelyn MacPherson et al. (1974) "A Comparison of Three Methods for Eliminating Disruptive Lunchroom Behavior," Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, Vol. 7:2, 287-297.

school with being highly motivated by the principal standing in the dirty dish room and praising them when they brought their trays back.

Perhaps my principal chose coercion only because he had no rewards. In fact, I think he also chose coercion because he was angry and because he felt that coercion would produce more change than rewards. (There now is some experimental evidence that individuals who use coercion to produce change feel mure powerful than individuals who produce the same amount of change through rewards.^(a)) Like many other people my principal seemed to believe implicitly that sticks were more effective than carrots.

I should add, I think, that my principal was conservative and probably felt a moral obligation to society to do something about the callous "what's in it for me" attitude he perceived. Conservatives and moralists often seem drawn toward coercion.^{9,10} And a social learning theorist like my principal would tend to take tray-leaving more seriously, to view it as representing an attitude that would continue throughout life if it were not stopped.

It is interesting to note, in passing, that the discipline of economics is built upon the assumption of a "what's in it for me" calculation on the part of hedonistic individuals. The economists' perspective would suggest a rather elegant and simple solution to our problem—a market mechanism: all you need do is charge each student a 25¢ deposit on his tray when he buys his lunch. He receives the deposit back when he returns the tray. If he does not return the tray, he loses the deposit—and it becomes in the interest of other students to become entrepreneurs and cart it back.

10 It is of course not a common practice in our culture to reward people who act morally: the official version is that athical conduct should be its own reward. However Montaigne in his Essays remarks thet: "In China, a kingdom in which government and the arts, though they have had no contact with or knowledge of ours, contain examples that surpuss them in many excellent features ... the officers deputed by the prince to inspect the state of his provinces, when punishing those guilty of abusing their office, also reward, out of pure liberality, any whose conduct has been above the common level of honesty." M. E. Montaigne (1580) Essays, translated by J. M. Cohen (1958) Baltimore: Penguin Books. I am not at all certain, however, that my principal would have found such a market system attractive even had he thought of it. As I said, he believed that there was a matter of morals at stake, a moral obligation toward other people. I think he would have been most reluctant to install any system which implied that one could legitimately ignore moral obligations by paying money.³³

5. Small Group Rewards ("Evil Companions")

One elaboration of social learning theory would be to look at the groups to which individuals belong. Our research hypothesis would be that in some friendship groups there are *rewards* for leaving trays (e.g. appearing "tough," "courageous," or "independent"). This reference group notion is particularly interesting because it implies that individuals may be relatively insulated from direct influence by the administration. Moreover, it suggests that, for some reference groups, what the administration regards as punishment (e.g. detention) may actually be a reward, a kind of badge of courage, a source of respect and acceptance from other group members.¹² Perhaps breaking up such groups (by rotating lunch shift assignments) would work. Or you could attempt to exert paper group pressure through the student government.

⁶W. R. Kite (1964) "Attributions of Causality as a Function of the Use of Reward and Punishment" Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Stanford University; Barry R. Schlenker and James T. Tedeschi (1973) "Interpersonal Attraction and the Exercise of Coercive and Reward Power" Human Relations 26:5 427-439.

⁹Studies of American public education show that a strong conservative leaning is typical of its employees. See, for example, H. Ziegler, M. K. Junnings and G. W. Peaks (1974) "The Decision-Making Culture of American Public Education," in Cornetius P. Cotter (ed.) Political Science Annual: An International Review, Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill, Vol. 5, 177-226; also the evidence for high percentages of System 1 information processors in O. J. Harvey et al. "Teachers' Beliefs, Classroom Atmosphere and Student Behavior" (1972) reprinted in A. Morrison and D. McIntyre (eds.) Social Psychology of Teaching, Baltimore: Panguin Books, 215-229.

^{3.3} There are additional athical problems in that such a market solution would favor rich kids—who presumably could better afford to "buy" the services of poorer kids. This kind of athical problem is, of course, fundamental in the present use of an aconomic market system in American society.

¹²A useful discussion of such an approach in the light of juvanile delinquancy research is Derek Wright (1971) The Psychology of Maral Behavior, Baltimore: Penguin Books; see elso S. Glueck and E. Glueck (1965) "Variaties of Delinquant Types," British Journal of Criminology, Vol. 5, 236-248.

III. Psychoanalytic Theories

(1) 1. (1) (1) (2)

Both the cybernetic and the social learning perspectives are relatively well organized; hypotheses seem to flow in a straightforward way from the image of human behavior. This coherence is not characteristic of the psychoanalytic model. In fact about the only common element among psychoanalytic theories (when applied to a specific situation) is their tendency to emphasize unconscious dynamics and to use a specialized vocabulary. I have organized the following illustration of psychoanalytic theories in three categories: traditional theories which emphasize individual characteristics, traditional theories which emphasize a group and the individual's relation to it, and developmental theories.

A: Traditional Theories-Individual Characteristics

6. Sadism ("Sexual Thrill")

100 B 1 1 1

It might be said that those individuals who do not return trays are sadistic. That is, they seek and receive a kind of perverse sexual thrill from an act of aggression. Assuming that the act of aggression is against the administration, not returning trays would be somewhat like teasing a caged animal: the principal could snarl about the situation over the public address system, but this expression of anger or frustration on the part of the principal would only encourage tray-leaving. Perhaps the best he can do is to expel the student offenders.

7. Masochism ("Asking for Punishment")

A reverse interpretation could also be generated from a psychoanalytic image of man: perhaps the individuals who leave their trays unconsciously want to be punished. Hence they transgress: as Freud put it, the masochist, "In order to provoke punishment ... must act against his own interests, ruin the prospects which the real world offers him, and possibly destroy his own existence in the world of reality."¹³ As another psychoanalyst has put it, "Unconscious wishes to be raped, punished, beaten or devoured may all contribute to rebelliousness."¹⁴

This interpretation is somewhat similar to the psychoanalytic idea that crimes may be motivated by an overpowering existing sense of guilt, a desire to be punished.¹⁵ The individual not only receives relief and gratification from the realistic criticisms he now can direct at himself, his deviant acts also involve the external world in a kind of ploy to assist him in self denigration. Perhaps ignoring the behavior would be effective—the masochist would seek his punishment elsewhere. (Although, perhaps a refusal to punish would make tray-leaving especially gratifying to the masochist—as in the old joke: "Hit me," said the masochist. "No," said the sadist.)

8. Narcissistic Gratification ("Attention-Seeking")

It is also possible, of course, that neither aggression nor sadism is involved at all. We have all heard parents say of children who misbehave or are fussy that they are "just looking for attention." Perhaps it is so in this case as well: desiring recognition from his environment, and unable to obtain it in other ways, a lonely or troubled individual might commit deviant acts so that he can at least obtain some sort of personal relationship with someone. Providing alternative sources of attention and recognition might work.

10. Inadequate Identification with Parents

In psychoanalytic theory conscience is formed by identification with the parents. It may be that those individuals who do not return their trays tend to lack a conscience—in other words, they would have sociopathic tendencies and simply be "out for themselves." Inadequate identification with parents, then, is a companion theory to the earlier "virtue doesn't pay" explanation of the social learning perspective. It differs only in suggesting that inadequate identification with parents (rather than a permissive upbringing) is involved.¹⁶ Perhaps therapy would help, although it has not proven too helpful with people with pseudopathic tendencies.¹⁷

· · ·

¹³Sigmund Freud (1924) "The Economic Problem of Masochism" The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud, Vol. XIX, London: Hogerth Press, 1967, 167-170.

¹⁴ Ludwig Eidelberg (ed.) (1968) Encyclopedia of Psychoanalysis, New York: Free Press, 369.

¹⁵Sigmund Freud (1918) "Some Character-Types Met With in Psychoenalytic Work" The Standard Edition, Vol. XIV, op. cit., 332-333.

¹⁶See Wright op. clt., Glueck and Glueck op. cit.

¹⁷Robert Martinson (1974) "What Works?-Questions and Answers About Prison Reform," The Public Interest, Vol. 36 (Spring 22:64.

12 Psychoanalytic Theories

11. Identification with Irresponsible Parents

Tray-leaving would not be predicted only by inadequate identification. It may arise because the same sexed parent (with whom the child presumably identified strongly in childhood) did not take the responsibility for his own behavior, or was cruel, harsh, unprincipled, or selfserving.¹⁸ Both this theory and the preceding one would suggest that, in the long term, the school system should seek to induce parents to change their child-rearing practices.

B. Psychoanalytic Group-Centered Theories

12. "Us Against Them" (In-group solidarity supported by displacement of aggression (scapegoating) against out-groups)

The traditional energy model of the human mind in psychoanalytic theory posits the existence, within each individual, of a fixed quantity of aggressive and libidinal energies. These energies are thought to be conserved in the sense that they are always present and cannot be added to or destroyed. An individual's personality structure is constituted from how he apportions and organizes these energies. For example, he may express some, in direct action; he may use some energies to keep the lid on other energies or impulses (repression); he may express some of them in a modified form (a mechanism called sublimation), or he may express them against some person or object other than their original target (a mechanism called displacement).

Freud, in his analysis of the psychic economy of groups, proposed that unalloyed group morale, cohesion, and loyalty were supported by the tendency of group members to displace their fund of aggressive tendencies toward outside groups. The love of group members for each other, in other words, becomes more pure as their aggressive energies are redirected more exclusively against outsiders and as love is withdrawn from the outsiders and diverted to members of the in-group. Freud put the sobering matter this way:

When once the Apostle Paul had posited universal love between men as the foundation of his Christian community, extreme intolerance on the part of Christendom toward those who remained outside it became the inevitable consequence.^{19,20} More recently, in the case of Nazi Germany, it has been proposed that the high morale and unity of the German state was sustained by "scapegoating" the Jews, the invention of a common enemy helping to unify the German people. A familiar theme in science fiction movies during the Cold War was based on the same notion: the threat from outer space dissolves normal political conflicts as all nations unify in joint effort against the common alien enemy. In a somewhat attenuated form this same dynamic often can be seen in high schools: nothing, it seems, is associated with high morale or school spirit as much as a football team or basketball team which regularly defeats opposing schools.

This body of speculation, the "in-group solidarity sustaining aggression against out-groups" hypothesis, suggests that the individuals who leave trays will be found to be close friends of other individuals who leave trays. Their common aggression against others would be in the service of sustaining their bonds with one another.

If this "we-against-them" dynamic is the explanation of tray-leaving the most obvious policy recommendation, similar to that discussed earlier under social learning theory-("Evil Companions")-would be to adopt a policy that would alter these associations (e.g. rotation of lunch shift assignments).

13. Inadequate Identification With the School or Principal

A second group-centered hypothesis utilizing psychoanalytic theory would focus upon the school itself and posit that students who do not return their trays have an inadequate identification with the school. In other words, they do not feel the welfare of the school as their own welfare, they are not personally concerned when the school has a problem.

I said earlier that my high school principal implicitly used a behavior reinforcement theory when he put teacher monitors in the cafeteria to catch students who did not return their trays. In fact he also adopted an "inadequate group identification" theory. He felt that deviating individuals had insofficient pride in their school and so, at the time he announced the creation of teacher monitors over the school public address system, he tried to increase identification with the school and to utilize this dynamic to change behavior. He spoke *glowingly* of the great history and high ideals of Walter Johnson High School. He spoke *durkty* of "those few individuals," that "minority of students," who did damage to these ideals. He spoke *fervently* of his hope that all of us could once again feel pride in our school and strengthen its great traditions and ideals.

I must confess that, at the time, I felt somewhat embarrassed for the principal when he made this speech. My friends and I were too cynical—and, in our own minds, too intelligent—to be taken in by this kind of emotionalism. We were highly sensitive to being manipulated, and we suspected that he cared far more for getting those trays taken back than he genuinely cared about the "traditions" of a relatively new suburban high

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

¹⁸ See Wright, op. cit., Glueck end Glueck. op. cit.

¹⁹Sigmund Freud (1930) Civilization and Its Discontants, in The Standard Edition, op. cit. Vol. XXI 114-115.

²⁰See also the excellent review of other theoretical approaches to the in-group out-group problem in Robert A. LeVine and Donald T. Campbell (1972) *Ethnocentrium: Theories of Conflict, Ethnic Attitudes, and Group Behavior,* New York: Wiley.

school which had been in existence only seven years. But probably he truly believed what he said: it seems to be characteristic of conservatives to assume that social institutions have great traditions and high ideals.

14. Too Strong an Identification with the School (Identification with the Aggressor)

The previous theory argued that tray-leaving could arise from weak identification with the school. However the same behavior could also result from the opposite process, a *strong* identification with the school if individuals felt the school to be hostile or indifferent to them.

An illustration will make this dynamic clear. Bruno Bettleheim²¹ reported on the behavior of other inmates he observed in Nazi concentration camps. He found that, far from opposing the brutality of the guards, there were some prisoners who actually began to imitate (identify with) the guards. Bettleheim interpreted this behavior as "identification with an aggressor," a psychological defense: rather than feel defenseless victims of their oppressors, the identification made inmates feel at one with them, a participant in their brutal power.²²

If the "identification with an aggressor" hypothesis is correct we should think of those students who do not take back their trays as manifesting the same indifference and callousness toward the welfare of others as they feel the school system expresses toward them. The school system should become more benevolent.

C. Psychoanalytic Developmental Theories

By now we have crossed through two of the three groups of psychoanalytic hypotheses. Developmental theories, particularly focusing on adolescence, are relatively new (i.e. post Freudian) with the exception of the first to be considered (rebellion against authority).

15. Rebellion Against Parental Authority

In this perspective the school administration is seen as a parent surrogate (via "transference") and resentments against parental authority are expressed within the school. The "real" sources of tray-leaving would have to be sought in the home and the effective elimination of conflict in the home. Schools sometimes adopt this theory in recommending family counseling in the case of "behavior problems." Psychoanalytic theory might also suggest, however, that rebelliousness is not an attempt to overcome *current* difficulties with authority in the home but, rather, an attempt to win old un-won battles from earlier in life which continue in the unconscious of the individual. Thus individual therapy might be required.

16. Deviation in the Service of Individuation

Deviant acts (like tray-leaving) may actually be committed by the individual in the service of obtaining a sense of himself as an individual who can act separately from the wishes of authority. In this sense tray-leaving, like other delinquent acts of adolescence, might be in the long term psychological interest of some individuals. Unlike a social learning approach (which would see anti-social behavior as something which the individual will continue if he "is allowed to get away with it") this ego development perspective would suggest that minor deviant acts are really a passing stage of development and may be quite beneficial in relation to the actual gains in a sense of personal identity and integrity which can accrue, It is sometimes argued that one of the benefits of juvenile gangs or friendship groups is the service they perform in this way by encouraging the individual to commit minor deviant acts, and by freeing him to commit these acts (reducing his guilt by sharing it).²³ Other unfortunate side effects (e.g. inhibitions in performing school work) have also been attributed to passive rebellion stemming from the same desire on the part of the individual to obtain or retain some sense of himself as a separate being.²⁴ We should note that, clamping down hard, the school might achieve short term gains but at longer term costs to the individuals' development.25

17. Separation Anxiety, Regression, and Structure Seeking (Anomie)

Rather than manifesting a positive developmental trend, however, adolescents who leave trays might do so from developmental difficulties and a cry for help. Progressing through adolescence involves a reduction in the external structures of life. An adolescent may face considerable

²¹B. Bettleheim (1943) "Individuel and Mass Behavior in Extreme Situations," Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, Vol. 38, 417-452.

²²See also Anna Fraud (1948) The Ego and the Mechanisms of Defense, New York: International Universities Press.

²³Erik Erikson (1966) "Eight Ages of Man" reprinted in Leon Gorlow and Walter Katkovsky(eds.) Readings in the Psychology of Adjustment (1968) New York: McGrew Hill, 297-317.

²⁴Howard Helpern (1964) "Psychodynamic and Cultural Determinants of Work Inhibition in Children and Adolescents" *Psychoanalytic Review*, Vol. 51, 173-189; also Halpern (1969) "Psychodynamic Correlates of Underschievement" in Gloria and Monroe Gottsegen (eds.) *Professional School Psychology*, New York: Grune and Stratton, Vol. 3, 318-337.

²⁵Note the considerable evidence suggesting the importance of providing an edult-influence, peer-influence belance in the interests of long term development of altruism and moral autonomy in Darek Wright, op. cit.

anxiety about the prospect of moving out on his own, choosing a college, getting a job, many may face a decision about marriage. If the individual is rushed into more freedom than he can handle, he may become increasingly anxious; he may have difficulty in concentrating or "getting it together," he may feel adrift or that he is sinking, unable to cope. For at least some people behavior can become bizarre, disorganized, or antagonistic without faith that someone else's firm hand is at the tiller. In this perspective the leaving of trays would be both a symptom of this kind of regression and a desperate, inchoate call for help—a desire to have benevolent authority step in, set down definite rules and structure, and thereby relieve the individual from his sense of being deserted.²⁶ If this theory is correct, then the principal should make rules and insist that this structure be adhered to: he will get his trays returned and also help his students.

18. Depression

The Group for the Advancement of Psychiatry has presented a psychoanalytic interpretation of depressive tendencies during adolescence in the following developmental perspective:

The withdrawal from the parents normally causes a kind of mourning reaction or episodes of depression in the adolescent. Psychologically this is similar to mourning the actual loss of a loved person. Since the parents in fact are present, however, the cause of the depression is obscure to both the adolescent and his parents and is likely to be labeled simply as "moodiness."²⁷

The GAP views these depression episodes as a consequence of growing independence, an increasing psychological separation from the parents. This depression could account, in turn, for why some people do not return their trays. It is not (as suggested earlier) that they are preoccupied, their energy directed elsewhere. On the contrary, they have no energy or desire to do anything.

Retrospect on Psychoanalytic Theories

I have not elaborated extensively on the separate policy implications of psychoanalytic theories. In large part this is because they bring very few good ones to mind except for sending tray-leavers to psychotherapy where they could learn more about their unconscious dynamics, Psychoanalysts themselves are notably reticent when it comes to suggesting policy alternatives other than psychotherapy.²⁴ I suspect, however, that much more could be done, reliably, in these directions. At a minimum, the trend toward including psychology as a part of the school curriculum seems hopeful.

²⁶Robert K. Merton (1957) "Social Structure and Anomie" reprinted in his Social Theory and Social Structure, rev. ed; New York: Free Press, 131-160.

Sebastian DeGrazie (1948) The Political Community: A Study in Anomie, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Marshall B. Clinard, ed. (1964) Anomie and Deviant Behavior, New York: Free Press.

²⁷Group for the Advancement of Psychiatry, Normal Adolescence: Its Dynamics and Impact (1968) New York: Scribners, p. 67.

²⁸A useful discussion is Geston E. Blom (1972) "A Psychoenalytic Viewpoint of Behavior Modification in Clinical and Educational Settings" *Journal of the* American Academy of Child Psychiatry, 11:4 (October) 676-693.

IV. Dramaturgical and Role Theory ("All the World's a Stage")

Dramaturgical and role theories, as their names imply, imagine that people are continually playing roles. These roles are *clusters* of behaviors and perspectives. In the role theory perspective, an individual does *not* perform a given action because he enjoys it (although he may), rather he acts the way he does because that is the role he is playing. And individuals do not necessarily adopt their roles because they find the roles, in sum, more gratifying than alternative roles. Rather they simply feel that it is *their* role or the appropriate role, a part of their identity.

19. Act/Scene Ratio

. 18

Most of the dramaturgical or role hypotheses to be discussed here invoke the name of different roles. One hypothesis, however, differs from these. This is the notion advanced by Kenneth Burke²⁹ that:

From the motivational point of view, there is implicit in the quality of a scene the quality of the action that is to take place within it. This would be another way of saying that the act will be consistent with the scene.

If we pause to reflect on the scene provided by my high school cafeteria it is apparent that there was considerable impersonality, a rather objectionable institutional air about the long rows of formica topped tables and nondescript (sometimes broken) wooden chairs: it was noisy, the walls were made of cinder block with a dreary light green glaze. Burke would suggest that we would be more likely to find rather callous impersonal behaviors (like leaving trays) in this impersonal, institutional setting.

We would need, to be rigorous, to identify some other characteristic to go with Burke's hypothesis since people differ in their actions in the same setting. One avenue might be to explore personality factors that cause individuals to differ in their susceptibility to being influenced by the scenes in which they are a part.

If Burke is correct, then the solution to our problem would lie in introducing a degree of *elegance* into high school dining. Tablecloths, noise dampening materials, flowers, carpets, etc. would provide different cues and produce a setting where people would be more inclined, automatically, to return their trays.

20. "Loser"

It is said that people can come to play the role of "Loser" in their lives. In formal language, we would say that they have developed a "negative identity" and that they go through life always calibrating their behavior so that they will be looked down upon by other people.

Jeanne Maracek and David Mettee³⁰ recently published experimental work which substantiates the concept of a "loser" syndrome. Subjects performed an experimental task and were told that they had done exceptionally well. The subjects then had a chance to perform the task again and, consistent with a loser syndrome prediction, those subjects who already had a strong sense of low self-esteem did make substantially more errors on the second performance of the task. In other words, knowing what the standards for success were, these losers amountsciously modified their behavior so they would tend to fail.

The possibility of a loser syndrome has also concerned Kai and Erik Erikson,³¹ and they have applied the idea in recommending changes in policies for dealing with juvenile delinquents. Their concern is that if an adolescent is caught and punished he may develop a negative identity—he may begin to think of himself, in other words, as a loser or as a criminal or an outcast. Having labelled the adolescent a "loser," then, society is engaging in a self-fulfilling prophecy because the adolescent will tend to act out this identity in the future. (Women's Liberation writers have used a similar idea in criticizing the constrained roles and self-fulfilling prophecies inflicted on women in our society.)

There is, in fact, some intriguing additional evidence which supports the idea that you can establish a negative identity in a transgressor by catching him and punishing him and that, as a result, he will transgress more in the future than if you had not caught him and punished him. For example, a study in a British boarding school for boys compared two groups of boys with identical past histories for smoking. The only difference between the groups was that the boys in one group had, at one time or another, been

²⁹ Kenneth Burke (1945) A Gremmer of Motives, New York, 6-7.

³⁰ J. Maracak and D. Mattas (1973) "Avoidance of Continued Success as a Function of Self-Esteem, Level of Esteem Certainty, and Responsibility for Success," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 22:1, 98-107.

³¹Erik Erikson and Kai T. Erikson (1957) "The Confirmation of the Delinquent" Chicago Review, 16-23.

20 - Dramaturgical and Role Theory

caught smoking (which was against the rules of the boarding school) and had been punished for it. In this group which had been caught smoking and punished for it, a great number of boys were still smoking several years later.³²

Research bearing on the "loser" syndrome suggests two ideas. First, the school itself may bear responsibility for establishing these negative identities. If so, we would expect to find that those individuals who do not return their trays have been given a great many negative cues over the years by the school system: low grades, for example, could establish negative identities, roles which individuals then act out in the cafeteria.³³

The second idea a loser syndrome suggests is that the use of coercion and punishment will be a serious error. For, if tray-leavers are caught and punished, this can strengthen a sense of negative identity. Perhaps the school administration would succeed, to some extent, in getting the cafeteria problem under control—but it might do so at the cost of increasing other behavioral, academic, and developmental difficulties for those whom it punishes.

21. Peter Pan Syndrome

Peter Pan did not want to grow up. He wanted to stay young forever. If we infer from the story, we might imagine that he conceived growing up as equivalent to becoming like the awful Captain Hook, and his wish to stay young was a desire to avoid playing this kind of role.

I have chosen the illustration of a "Peter Pan Syndrome" deliberately because one of the major observers of modern youth, Kenneth Keniston, has proposed something quite similar. Young people today, he writes, believe that "beyond youth lie only stasis, decline, foreclosure, and death."³⁴ Young people balk at joining the "establishment" because to them this means playing a role which has extremely negativistic connotations. Responsibilities, in short, are seen as burdens; being mature is no fun.

The Peter Pan Syndrome suggests that those individuals who do not take back their trays confront a choice, in their own minds, between two roles. The first role, the one they elect to play for themselves, is a role of freedom, moderate irresponsibility, emotional spontaneity, variety, and fun. The role they reject is a role which they see as oppressive, deadening, mechanical and rather boring and tiresome. In the choice between life and death those who leave their trays have elected life.³⁵

Perhaps, if the adults in the school were to become more alive and fun-loving, they would provide models different from Captain Hook. Few of them, at least in my high school, seemed very joyful about their work.³⁶

22. Game Playing

1

One additional hypothesis illustrating a role theory perspective is the notion of a game in which students and administration are each playing a part according to certain time-honored but unwritten rules. In this perspective the game "Who Will Return the Trays?" is a fun-filled pastime for the students involved. They leave trays, the principal growls about it over the public address system, teacher monitors are put into the cafeteria. But students continue to play the game with their own countermoves: watching for when the teacher monitor is looking in the other direction before exiting for their next class (leaving their travs behind them). Of course the student knows that, if he is caught leaving his tray, nothing particularly serious will happen-he might get an angry word, or, at worst. he might have to go to a detention study hall: it would be like a game of ice hockey in which an offender can be caught in a transgression and will go to the penalty box briefly but knows he will rejoin his teammates in the game after awhile. The "Return the Trays" game the studentsplay with the administration could be seen, in this light, as similar to other games students play in high school classrooms with teachers-for example, the "Who's Done Their Assignment for Today?" game (in general, in my high school, few students had done their assignments-and the ball then was back in the teacher's court and he or she had to figure out a countermove).

Note that it is not necessary for both students and administration to play the game. What is necessary is only that students see it as a game. In fact, if they do see "Who Will Return the Trays?" in this way, I am not

³⁵Some psychologists would see the Peter Pan Syndrome as calling for psychotherapy. Through it, Pearce and Newton argue, "The grim concept of social responsibility is transformed into pleasure in the privilege of social participation on as wide a base as the person's capacities will permit." See Jan Pearce and Saul Newton (1963) The Conditions of Human Growth, New York: Citadel Press, 444.

³²J. W. Palmer (1965) "Smoking, Caning, and Delinquency in a Secondary Modern Schoot," British Journal of Preventive School Medicine, Vol. 19, 18-23.

³³ In Sennett and Cobb's enalysis "losers" tend to band together in friendship groups where they establish their own standards for recognition separate from-end often entagonistic to-the school's values (e.g. toughness, recalcitrance, etc.). The "losers" thus establish an insulated counterculture which salvages some degree of self-regard. A similar esteem enhancing function may occesionally be served on college campuses by some drinking fraternities: not everyone can make A's in class; but anybody can get plastered end brag about all of the silly things he did. See Richard Sennett and Jonathan Cobb (1972) The Hidden Injuries of Class, New York: Knopl.

³⁴Kenneth Keniston (1971) Youth and Dissent, New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 17.

³⁶What may be involved is a special case of the distinction sociologists draw between "up fron!" and "back stage" behavior. Restaurant managers, for example, can be quite irreverant and fun-loving when they are behind the scenes; but they become somber and a bit stiff when they appear before their official audience of customers. See, for example, Erving Goffman (1969) The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life, New York: Doubleday, 119.

sure what the administration could do about it. If the principal were to announce over the public address system, "Look, I'm not going to play games—) want those trays taken back," he might have little effect. Students (at least at my high school) would likely have seen such a statement by the principal as a particularly clever countermove, only a shrewdly calculated attempt to win the game by pretending there was no game.

V. Humanistic Psychology

Humanistic psychology views men as having an innate tendency to "grow," a term which is usually taken to mean becoming more humane, altruistic, productive, loving, and so forth. If we view not returning cafeteria trays as indicative of some blockage in the growth process, Abraham Maslow's work suggests two hypotheses:

23. Lower Need (e.g. Sexual) Deprivation

Maslow views men as being motivated by a hierarchy of needs-the "higher" needs motivate only when lower needs are satisfied.³⁷ If returning trays is seen as indicative of a "higher" (more altruistic) motivation, then not returning trays might arise from the deprivation of any of the "lower" needs-of which sexual satisfaction is, in Maslow's view, one. Thus we would expect that the students who do not return trays are those who are more sexually frustrated and deprived, and a high school administration which wanted to deal with the cafeteria tray problem would have to concern itself with facilitating adequate sexual satisfaction for its students. I suspect, however, that it will require higher consciousness on the part of school administrators before they are willing to consider this theory seriously. They were most reluctant, at least in my day, even to acknowledge the existence of what one of them called (privately) "the ultimate relationship."³⁸

³⁷Abraham Maslow (1970) Motivation and Personality, New York: Harper and Row, revised edition.

³⁹An excellent study of some of the political problems connected just with providing accurate sex education is provided by Mary Breasted (1970) Ohl Sex Education, New York: Praeger. See also Laster A. Kirkendall (1969) "The School Psychologist and Sex Education," in Gloria and Monroe Gottaegen (eds.) Professional School Psychology, Vol. 3, New York: Grune and Stratton, 148-171.

24. The Jonah Complex

Maslow also writes that many people fear their highest potentialities.³⁹ They do not feel strong enough to feel too good about themselves, too noble or virtuous or competent. Counter to what Keniston would say (The Peter Pan Syndrome) or what a social learning theorist would say, taking back trays is seen as psychologically rewarding by these people but they avoid the behavior because they could not stand that much gratification.

VI. Specialized Theories

There are six rather specialized theories that can be applied to the tray problem: emotional contagion, reduced altruism from sensory overload, equity theory, Kohlberg's theory of moral development, depersonalization theory and frustration-aggression theory.

25. Monkey See/Monkey Do (Emotional Contagion)

Classic analyses of human behavior in large groups point to a range of phenomena which occur in these settings.⁴⁰ One is the phenomenon of emotional contagion—behavior and feelings spread more rapidly. If this mechanism operates in the cafeteria (perhaps with some being more susceptible than others) then we would simply say that, somehow, the act of not returning trays got started—and it spread. If emotional contagion of this sort occurs in the high school cafeteria one solution might be to partition the single large room into a series of smaller rooms, thus reducing the tatent to which individuals are part of a large mass.

26. Sensory Overload and Reduced Altruism

One of the traditional hypotheses about life in large cities is that there is so much sensory stimulation (e.g. noise, large numbers of people, activity), that people have to reduce their emotional involvement with (and concern for) most of the people they meet in meder to retain some kind of equilibrium.⁴¹ Thus we would expect (assuming some individuals reduce their emotional involvement with their environment more strongly than others) that not returning cafeteria trays would be a result of the

³⁹Abreham Mastow (1968) "The Jonah Complex" in Warrett Bentlik et al. (Nds.) Interpersonal Dynamics, New York: Dorsey, rev. ed. See elso Sigmund Freud's related discussion of people who are destroyed by success, (1916) "Some Character Types Met With in Psychoanalytic Work" in The Standard Edition, Vol. XIV. op. cit., 316-331.

Ad But for a sample Busies Lebon (1983) The Crowd. London: Unwin,

⁴¹Geory Simmel (1950) "The Metropolit and Mental Life" in Kutt W. Wolff led.) The Sociology of Geory Simmel, New York: Free Press, 409-424.

Stanley Milgram (1970) "The Experience of Living in Cities," Science, Vol. 167, 1461-1468.

crowded conditions in the cafeteria (and perhaps in the school in general). The effective resolution of the tray problem, by this theory, would be to reduce crowding, install sound deadening materials, etc.

27. Kohlberg Moral Development Theory

Kohlberg has advanced considerable evidence for a new theory which sees moral development occurring in a sequence of six stages.⁴² He has studied moral *reasoning* (how a person explains or justifies an act as moral or immoral), but the stage theory seems also to predict to moral *behaviors* as well.⁴³ One of the lower stages of moral development is hedonistic morality (i.e. moral appropriateness is derived from the "what's in it for me" attitude identified earlier as an assumption in social learning theory).

The highest stage is the stage of individual ethical principles (the individual makes up his own mind in a principled way about what is right or just), and greater altruism and sense of individual responsibility seem to be associated with this stage as well. Kohlberg's theory would tell us that those who leave their trays may be at a lower level of moral development. The solution to the problem then would be careful attention by the school to curriculum innovations that would move students to higher stages of moral development. (This task apparently cannot be done by simple exhortation.)⁴⁴

28. Equity Theory (Golden Rule Psychology) *

Equity theory is probably best expressed, in its basic form, by the *lex* talonis of antiquity, "An eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth." In other words, it is the proposition that, to the extent they can, people are motivated to repay others, to behave toward others the way others behave toward them. If you treat others with kindness and respect, the theory proposes, they will treat you with kindness and respect. Give them a hard time and they will tend to give you a hard time when they have the opportunity.⁴⁵

In the case of the unreturned cafeteria trays equity theory would tell us that students were expressing a basic and situationally-induced resentment against the school: the regimentation, low marks, boredom, large classes, and a somewhat authoritarian structure are inducing them to repay the school for the hassles and indignities to which they have been subjected.

It is interesting, in this connection, to observe that equity theory would predict that the only way to resolve the problem would be to make high school a place where students are treated with respect and dignity, a great many rewards are forthcoming, and so forth. Only if the administration lives up to the Golden Rule will students do likewise. Note that the use of coercion or punishment is very unwise if equity theory is correct since these will only motivate further student underground resistance in either the classroom or the cafeteria.

29. Depersonalization

An increasing number of studies point to the possibility that depersonalization and anonymity tend to dissolve the obligations and humanizing restraints in individual conduct.⁴⁶ If so, we would expect to find those leaving their trays to be students who receive less recognition from the school, to be the "forgotten," ignored students, the ones for whom neither teachers nor administrators have time.⁴⁷

Interestingly, there is now some general evidence for a depersonalization theory. Not only are students "depersonalized," it appears that school administrators and teachers are not seen as fully human, at least by high school students—a condition which may further promote callousness and indifference toward them.⁴⁸If depersonalization theory is correct then a principal should concern himself with reducing the impersonal, bureaucratic atmosphere of the school. Students must feel known, recognized, and cared about; and they should feel those who run the school are "personalized" human beings engaged in honest human relationships rather than role performances.

30. Frustration-Aggression

If we think of leaving trays as an aggressive act, then perhaps frustration-aggression theory can help us to understand it.⁴⁹ What might

⁴²L. Kohlberg (1969) "State and Sequence: The Cognitive-Developmental Approach to Socialization" in D. A. Goslin (ed.) Hendbook of Socialization Theory and Research, Chicego: Rand McNally.

⁴³N. Haan, M. B. Smith, and J. Block (1968) "The Moral Reasoning of Young Adults," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 10, 183-201; James Fishkin et al. (1973) "Moral Reasoning and Political Ideology," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 27.1, 109-119.

⁴⁴See Derek Wright, op. cit., chapter 10; also, E. Turiel (1966) "An Experimental Test of the Sequentiality of Development Stages in the Child's Moral Judgements," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 3, 811-618.

⁴⁵Elaina Walster et al. (1973) "New Directions in Equity Research," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 25:2, 151-176.

⁴⁶L. Festinger, A. Pepitone, and T. Newcomb (1952) "Some Consequences of Deindividuation in a Group," *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, Vol. 47, 382-389; P. G. Zimbardo (1969) "The Human Choice: Individuation, Reeson and Order Versus Deindividuation, Impulse and Chaos," in D. Levine (ed.) The Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, 1969, Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.

⁴⁷Paradoxically, the large modern high school was developed, in part, from a desire for afficiency—yet this very "afficiency" of bigness may carry with it depersonalization and larger costs in vendelism and anti-social behavior.

⁴⁸Derak Wright (1962) "A Comparative Study of the Adolescent's Concepts of His Parants and Teachers," Educational Review, Vol. 14, 228-232.

⁴⁹John Dollard et al. (1939) Frustration and Appression, New Havan: Yele University Press.

produce the frustrated students who, the theory holds, would be likely to express their frustration as aggression against the school? It *might* be that the most objectively deprived students would be the most frustrated. But the available evidence suggests that frustration may depend instead on *relative* deprivation, that is the gap between what a man wants or feels entitled to receive and what he actually does receive.⁵⁰

As with equity theory, one possible solution is to increase the rewards to students, thus reducing frustrations. However if *relative* deprivation is involved, then several other alternatives become plausible depending on how students form their comparison levels:⁵¹ one alternative might be to equalize existing rewards so that students would not face invidious comparisons with one another. Or the school might de-emphasize the achievement ethic: rather than dangle the carrot of an idealized academic success in front of many students who can never achieve it, the school could adopt a more humanistic set of ideals that everyone could meet; paradoxically, it may be that high standards, by inducing a sense of inadequacy and frustration, turn out to be counterproductive. Or the problem might be a lot simpler than this, a matter of providing better food in the cafeteria.⁵²

VII. Field Theory: Different Strokes for Different Folks?

I have reserved discussion of field theory until the end because it does not offer specific hypotheses. Rather it offers a general perspective on the hypotheses that have preceded.

Field theory asks that we imagine each individual as living in a psychological "life space," a psychological space which includes a variety of personal and situational *forces* that, in combination, determine behavior.⁵³ Field theory alerts us that our preceding theories are *not* mutually exclusive. In the same individual there may be a "what's in it for me" attitude, a tendency to be deviant in the service of developing a greater sense of his own identity, a mild degree of depression, certain sadistic tendencies, a loser syndrome, some susceptibility to emotional contagion (and so forth). All of these factors (and perhaps others affecting him in opposite directions) may be at work and, by their sum, produce the final behavior we observe.

By proposing the image of *separate* individual life spaces, field theory also alerts us that the relevant constellation of forces-the presence or absence of particular forces and their strength if present-may well differ from individual to individual. To account fully for tray-leaving, then, we *may* need all of the theories reviewed so far (not to mention others that might have to be discovered). We might need a somewhat different explanation for each individual.⁵⁴ And we might need to find a variety of "solutions," each of which will affect somewhat differently the behavior of different individuals.

It is important to emphasize, however, that field theory only suggests this maximum complexity might be present. It does not rule out, on

⁵⁰L. Berkowitz (1972) "Frustrations, Comparisons and Other Sources of Emotional Arousal as Contributors to Social Unrest," *Journal of Social Islues*, 28:1, 77-91.

⁵¹ Leon Festinger (1954) "A Theory of Societ Comparison Processes," Human Relations, Vol. 7, 117-140; David Sears and John McConehay (1973) The Politics of Violence: The New Urban Blacks and the Watts Riot, Boston: Houghton Mittlin.

⁵²It is possible that special privileges for taachers and administrators are sources of student frustration—in some high schools teachers are allowed to cut in the front of the long calateria lines, they have lounges where they can smoke, etc.

⁵³ Deutsch and Krauss, op. cit.

⁵⁴G. A. Kelly (1955) The Psychology of Personal Constructs, 2 Vols. New York: Norton.

theoretical grounds, the possibility that a few factors might actually account for most of the differences between the people who return trays and those who do not.

VIII. Thirty Theories in Search of Reality

Perhaps the reader is a bit dazed by now, finding that he has been forced to withdraw some of his attention as theories piled up and stimulus overload became a reality. It will be well, then, to call a halt at this point. I have diagrammed the thirty theories in Figure 1.⁵⁵

A quick glance at the diagram shows that many linkages are still unstated, especially how background factors in individual development affect variations in fun seeking, certain unconscious dynamics, social conformity, and physiological responses. There are, of course, other theories about all of these things, but it would add little to go into them here: the purpose has been to inventory and introduce basic traditions of explanatory theory, not to write an exhaustive anatomy.

I do want to indicate, however, that there are several avenues I have not explored. There is the Marxist theory of a possible "haughty bourgeoisie indifference" of some students toward the working class employees of the cafeteria and the Maoist policy solution of decreasing depersonalization, altering rewards and punishments, and increasing identification by requiring the students to serve as workers and all members of the school to engage in public mutual and self-criticism sessions. More importantly, I have made the implicit assumption that returning trays is desirable behavior: reversing this assumption could turn up disquieting syndromes

My map, for reasons of simplicity, ignores the possibility that the factors which sustain behavior may be different from those that first start it (e.g. a "try it, you'll like it" mechanism). One one way in which behavior, once instituted, can change its psychological meaning see the discussion of cognitive consistency and self-attribution in Deryl Bern (1970) Beliefs, Attitudes and Human Affairs, Belmont, Calif.: Brooks/Cole. I am endebted to Gary Wolfsfeld for a discussion of these additional complexities that should be included in an exheustive enelysis of possibilities.

⁵⁵A thoughtful, exceptionally useful map for the analysis of personality effects on politics is M. Brewster Smith (1968) "A Map for the Analysis of Personality and Politics," Journal of Social Issues, Vol. 24, 15-28.

that might characterize some students who return their trays-e.g. automatic "authoritarian" obedience of anyone in authority.56

But I think we have surveyed the major theories. Taken together in the map they show the types of factors which potentially interact to affect a single behavior in one person: his *individual background*, the broader context of the society and social institutions of which he is a part, his motives and inner states in all their complexity, the many facets and dimensions of the *immediate situation*.

Anyone reading social science literature or the popular press will encounter different authors beating the drums for different theories: cost-benefit theories of voting, inadequate child-rearing as a theory of crime, theories of unconscious determinants of war and so forth. A map such as that in Figure 1 may be useful in keeping all of these different ideas in an organized perspective. And the complexity of the map demonstrates why the professional social scientist, although he values individual insights, nevertheless wants hard evidence before he will believe any one plausible theory is a major explanation.

Possibly it requires a sense of humor to consider a minor problem like unreturned cafeteria trays from thirty different points of view. But the important point is that most major problems of human behavior have an analogous structure: some people are criminals but others are not, some nations go to war but others do not, some people find society alienating but others do not, some students think and work up to their potential but others do not, some people are racially prejudiced but other people are not. The thirty different theoretical perspectives identified different policy alternatives and strategic intervention points which men of good will might use to solve such problems and make this a better world. These policy options are summarized in Table 1.

Some of these thirty theories might be called "conservative" theories: they attribute the cause of the problem to something about individuals and they recommend intervening to change individuals to solve the problem. Other theories could be called "liberal" theories: they attribute the cause of the problem more to the surrounding social structures and practices and they recommend intervening to change this environment to solve the problem. It is true, in America, that each individual has the right to advocate his ideology through an equal vote in the decision-making process. But embedded in liberal and conservative perspectives are theories of human behavior: from the viewpoint of a social scientist the best way to decide among theories is to assemble evidence, not dismiss them (or champion them) because they fit the relatively uninformed prejudices and partial insights that have been the traditional guidelines for resolving policy questions in our society.

56T. W. Adorno et al. (1969) The Authoritarian Personality, New York: Norton.



.34 Thisty Theories in Search of Reafity

Thirty Theories in Search of Reality 35

Table 1

Theories and Policy Options: A Summary

Theories	Policy for Behavior Change	
I. Cybernetic		•
1. Ignorance of Expectations	Information about expectations	
2. Ignorance of Consequences	Information about consequences	
II. Behavior Reinforcement		
3. Permissive Up Bringing	Better reinforcement schedules by perents	
4. What's In It for Me?	Deposit system; give rewards if possible; increase costs	
5. Small Group Rewards	Breakup groups, use student government to exert peer group pressure	;
III. Psychoanalytic Model		
6. Sadism	Therapy; Expulsion	
7. Masochism	Therapy; Ignore it (?)	
B. Attention Seeking	Therapy; Alternetive source of attention	
9. Preoccupation	Reminders	
10. Sociopathic Tendencies	Therapy (?); better child rearing	
11. Identification with Irresponsible Parents	(?); better child rearing	
12. In-group/Out-group	Break up groups	
13. Inadequate Identification with School or Principal	Strengthen identification with school; better leadership	
14. Idensification with School as Aggressor	Increase banevolence of school system	
15. Rebettion Against Parentel Authority	Family or individual therapy	
16. Deviation in the Service of Individuation	Therapy	
17. Separation Anxiety, Regression, Structure Seeking (Anomie)	Strengthen and enforce rules; Therapy	
18. Depression	Therapy	
IV. Dramaturgical/Role Model		
19. Act/Scene Ratio	Add elegence to high school dining	
20. "Loser"	Therapy	
21. Peter Pan Syndrome	Better role models, (fun loving but	
	responsibility, charisme)	
22. Geme Playing	(2)	
V. Humanistic Model		
23. Lower Need (e.g. Sexual) Deprivation	Provide or facilitate meeting of unmet needs; sex; sex education	
24. Jonah Complex	(7)	
VII. Specialized Theories		
25. Emotional Contagion	Breek large room into small sections	
26. Sensory Overload and Reduced Altruism	Reduce pace of life, noise levels, crowding; break large room into small sections	
27. Kohlberg Morel Development Theory	Design curriculum innovations to facilitate development of moral reasoning	
28. Equity Theory (Golden Rule	Provide more overall rewards from school	
Psychology	system; better and more attractive food; don't punish!	

Table 1. Theories and Policy Options: A Summary (continued)

Theories	Palicy for Bahaviar Change
29. Depersonalization	Break up large schools; more personal inter- est of staff in all students; facilitate seeing cafeteria and other staff as
30. Frustration/Appression	individuals More rewards from school system; equalize rewards; de-emphasize achievement ideals in favor of more humanistic ones; elimin-
	ate special privileges for staff.

- Oran R. Young (1968) Systems of Political Science, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Mancur Olson (1971) The Logic of Collective Action, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Thomas Schelling (1963) The Strategy of Conflict, Oxford: Oxford University Press, Chapter 2.

Murray Edelman (1964) The Symbolic Uses of Politics, Urbana, III: University of Illinois Press.

Additional Readings

I. How Decisions Are Made in American Government

. 36

- Charles E. Lindblom (1968) The Policy Muking Process, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Aaron Wildavsky (1964) The Politics of the Budgetary Process, Boston: Little, Brown.
- Graham Allison (1971) Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis, Boston: Little, Brown.
- John Steinbruner (1974) The Cybernetic Theory of Decision, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

II. How American Government Sometimes Uses Social Science Theories

- Peter Marris and Martin Rein (1973) Dilemmas of Social Reform, Chicago: Aldine, second edition.
- Daniel P. Moynihan (1973) The Politics of a Guaranteed Income, New York: Vintage.

III. Some Additional Case Study Material on Public Policy Formation

- Theodore Marmor (1973) The Politics of Medicare, Chicago: Aldine Publishing Company.
- Eric Redman (1973) The Dance of Legislation, New York: Simon & Schuster, Inc.
- Jeffrey Pressman and Aaron Wildavsky (1973) Implementation, Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Eliot, Chambers, Salisbury and Prewitt (1965) American Government: Problems and Readings, New York: Dodd, Mead & Co.

IV. Theories in Social Psychology and Political Science

Morton Deutsch and Robert M. Krauss (1965) Theories In Social Psychology, New York: Basic Books.

Analysis Topics 39

Analysis Topics-The Case of the Unreturned Cafeteria Trays

I. Applying the Arguments of Different Theories

1. Social Learning Theory

A Marxist might say that a market mechanism solution to any social problem is inherently immoral because it sanctions individual selfishness and greed as the determinants of behavior. Would you agree or disagree?

2. Inadequate Identification and Depersonalization

A Marxist might find it significant that most students in my high school were middle class or upper-middle class; 85% went on to college. The cafeteria workers and janitorial staff were blue collar "functionaries." Do the actions of the students who leave their trays display an indifference and callousness toward these people that is a part of any class system? How does the Communist Chinese government attempt to prevent the development of "bourgeoise arrogance" in its citizens?

3. Game Playing

In a sense the student government in my case study was "playing politics." Were we morally right to do what we did, morally wrong, or do you think that perhaps moral judgements should not apply to "games?" What do you think about politicians who "play politics?"

4. Maslow Need Hierarchy Theory

Maslow would propose that a healthy and satisfying love life is one prerequisite for good citizenship. Does this sound far-fetched to you or does it sound accurate?

5. Moral Development Theory

For his book *Pollitcal Ideology*, Robert E. Lane conducted extended depth interviews with working class men. He found that those men who worried about their ability to control their own impulses favored strong, moralistic, law-and-order government. They seemed to be saying that they needed and wanted the threat and realistic fear of reprisal from government authority as an aid to deterring their own anti-social impulses. Kohlberg would agree that there are people like this. Clearly then the ideal of applying the same laws equally to all men is bad policy since the same laws and law enforcement practices can restrict unduly the people at the highest levels of moral development and be too lenient for those at the lower ends. Do you agree or disagree with this argument? Do you think police and courts already work on a rough-and-ready theory of this kind by giving out different punishments and enforcing laws differently for different groups?

II. Analyzing the Viewpoint of the Paper

- 1. The paper implies that liberals and conservatives are just deficient social scientists, that they advocate policies based on attitudes which embody theories for which they have no really good evidence. Is this characterization fair?
- 2. The paper implicitly argues that public policy should be based on good social science theory. What is the likelihood that such an approach would lead to totalitarianism or elitism? Is the approach anti-political?

III. Exercises in Thinking from Different Viewpoints

- 1. Some people in America make a very good living while other people are poor. Is poverty the fault of individuals or the fault of their environment? Propose three theories that would tend to blame the poor for their poverty and three theories that would tend to blame society.
- 2. Some people vote and others do not. Propose six alternative theories to explain this difference. (Note: do not automatically equate voting as a "good thing" analogous to returning trays.) On the basis of your political science courses, what theories are best?
- 3. Suppose that the Governor of your state appoints you to a special citizens' advisory committee on drunken driving. The Governor wants something done about the high loss of life due to the drunken driver problem. Your committee has \$50 million to spend and a promise that the Governor will sponsor any constitutional laws that you propose. Outline five alternative approaches to solving the drunken driver problem.

***O.** Analysis Topics

- 4. Some people in other countries are revolutionaries opposed to "American imperialism." Other people are not. Propose five theories to explain this difference. Which theories do you think are best? What evidence can you offer to support your choice?
- 5. During the Kennedy administration the Russian government placed nuclear missiles on the island of Cuba. Propose seven alternative theories about why they might have done this.

THE URBAN INSTITUTE 2100 M STREET, N.W. / WASHINGTON D.C. 20037

ROBERT D. REISCHAUER President

Direct Dial: 202-261-5400 Fax: 202-223-1335 E-mail: RReischa@ui.urban.org

December 23, 2002

Dr. Lloyd S. Etheredge, Director Government Learning Project The Policy Sciences Center, Inc. P. O. Box 208215 New Haven, CT 06520-8215

Dear Dr. Etheredge:

Thank you for your letter and thoughtful attachment. I am in complete agreement that the economic data we collect has significant deficiencies that limit our ability to understand the economy's problems and chart future policy.

We don't collect some information that is needed and gather much that we could do without. We collect other data in insufficient detail and almost always take too long to release the data for it to be useful in policy decisions.

As you know better than I, there are many reasons for this situation. What we collect and how we collect it reflects the forces at play in the first half of the last century and those forces do not want to give anything up. Congress has little interest in devoting more scarce budget resources to collect new and better information. Few economists who use the data appreciate its limitations. They have been raised on certain data sets and treat them as if they are part of the underlying environment, not subject to change. They put a premium on continuity and don't want discontinuity in the data sets they know and use.

I don't think I would be as critical as you are about CNSTAT/NCR. I don't think they would have much of an impact even if they had done the studies and made the recommendations you think warranted. Nor do I think universities (Yale or Harvard) or the Fed could make much of a dent in the problem. Rather, I think a presidential or congressional study commission is called for—one with a clear mandate and a promise that added resources will be devoted to strengthening the statistical system based on the commission's report. Unfortunately, the prospects for such an initiative rising to the top of policymakers' lists of things to do is very, very low.

Nevertheless, I wish you well in your efforts.

Sincerely,

We must look beyond Keynes to fix our problems

By Jeffrey Sachs [A different kind of growth path is required, says Jeffrey Sachs]

For more than 30 years, from the mid-1970s to 2008, Keynesian demand management was in intellectual eclipse. Yet it returned with the financial crisis to dominate the thinking of the Obama administration and much of the UK Labour party. It is time to reconsider the revival.

The rebound of Keynesianism, led in the US by Lawrence Summers, the former Treasury secretary, Paul Krugman, the economist-columnist, and the US Federal Reserve chairman Ben Bernanke, came with the belief that short-term fiscal and monetary expansion was needed to offset the collapse of the housing market.

The US policy choice has been four years of structural (cyclically adjusted) budget deficits of general government of 7 per cent of gross domestic product or more; interest rates near zero; another call by the White House for stimulus in 2013; and the Fed's new policy to keep rates near zero until unemployment returns to 6.5 per cent. Since 2010, no European country has followed the US's fiscal lead. However, the European Central Bank and Bank of England are not far behind the Fed on the monetary front.

We can't know how successful (or otherwise) these policies have been because of the lack of convincing counterfactuals. But we should have serious doubts. The promised jobs recovery has not arrived. Growth has remained sluggish. The US debt-GDP ratio has almost doubled from about 36 per cent in 2007 to 72 per cent this year. The crisis in southern Europe is often claimed by Keynesians to be the consequence of fiscal austerity, yet its primary cause is the countries' and eurozone's unresolved banking crises. And the UK's slowdown has more to do with the eurozone crisis, declining North Sea oil and the inevitable contraction of the banking sector, than multiyear moves towards budget balance.

There are three more reasons to doubt the Keynesian view. First, the fiscal expansion has been mostly in the form of temporary tax cuts and transfer payments. Much of these were probably saved, not spent.

Second, the zero interest rate policy has a risk not acknowledged by the Fed: the creation of another bubble. The Fed has failed to appreciate that the 2008 bubble was partly caused by its own easy liquidity policies in the preceding six years. Friedrich Hayek was prescient: a surge of excessive liquidity can misdirect investments that lead to boom followed by bust.

Third, our real challenge was not a great depression, as the Keynesians argued, but deep structural change. Keynesians persuaded Washington it was stimulus or bust. This was questionable. There was indeed a brief depression risk in late 2008 and early 2009, but it resulted from the panic after the abrupt and maladroit closure of Lehman Brothers.

There is no going back to the pre-crisis economy, with or without stimulus. Unlike the Keynesian model that assumes a stable growth path hit by temporary shocks, our real challenge is that the growth path itself needs to be very different from even the recent past.

The American labour market is not recovering as Keynesians hoped. Indeed, most high-income economies continue to shed low-skilled jobs, either to automation or to offshoring. And while US employment is rising for those with college degrees, it is falling for those with no more than a high school education.

The infrastructure sector is a second case in point. Other than a much-hyped boom in gas fracking, investments in infrastructure are mostly paralysed. Every country needs to move to a low-carbon energy system. What is the US plan? There isn't one. What is the plan for modernised transport? There isn't one. What is the plan for protecting the coastlines from more frequent and costly flooding? There isn't one.

Trillions of dollars of public and private investments are held up for lack of a strategy. The Keynesian approach is ill-suited to this kind of sustained economic management, which needs to be on a timescale of 10-20 years, involving co-operation between public and private investments, and national and local governments.

Our world is not amenable to mechanistic rules, whether they are Keynesian multipliers, or ratios of budget cuts to tax increases. The UK, for example, needs increased infrastructure and education investments, backed by taxes and public tariffs. Therefore, spending cuts should not form the bulk of deficit reduction as George Osborne, UK chancellor, desires. Economics needs to focus on the government's role not over a year or business cycle, but over an "investment cycle".

When the world is changing rapidly and consequentially, as it is today, it is misguided to expect a "general theory". As Hayek once recommended to Keynes, we instead need a tract for our times; one that responds to the new challenges posed by globalisation, climate change and information technology.

The writer is director of the Earth Institute at Columbia University

December 18, 2012 7:25 pm

To understand Christmas, go to the pub By John Kay

With gift-giving as with finance, it takes an eclectic approach to understand human behaviour Why do we exchange gifts? I once enjoyed a heated debate with a group of anthropologists. After discussing what we might learn from each other we adjourned to the pub, where the debate continued. We bought rounds of drinks. But why?

For the anthropologists, the custom of standing a round represented ritual gift exchange. They drew an analogy with Native American potlatch festivals, where tribes would gather to eat, sing, dance and confer lavish presents – sometimes treasured or essential possessions – on each other. The economists preferred a more hard-nosed explanation. Buying drinks in rounds rather than individually was a means of reducing transaction costs. The number of dealings between the customers and the bar was reduced, and the need for small change diminished.

I proposed an empirical test between the competing hypotheses. Did you feel successful or unsuccessful if you had bought more drinks than had been bought for you?

Unfortunately, the result was inconclusive. The anthropologists believed their generosity enhanced their status. The economists sought to maximise the difference between the number of drinks they had consumed and the number they had bought. They computed appropriate strategies for finite games and even for extended evenings of indeterminate length. The lesson is that if you want a good time at a bar, go with an anthropologist rather than an economist.

So it is a relief that Christmas sounds more like a potlatch than a mathematical economist's multi-period equilibrium. The purpose of the festival is plainly not transaction-cost minimisation. Although commercial

interests obviously profit from Christmas, the economic function of the event is not apparent. Indeed, from time to time economists point out the inefficiency of customary gift exchange: the gifts we receive are often less valuable to us than those we would have bought ourselves with the money the donor devoted to their purchase. Canadian missionaries made the same observation. Concerned that such festivals seriously damaged the economic welfare of the tribes, they successfully lobbied the government to criminalise potlatches.

A narrow focus is characteristic of scientific method but gets in the way of understanding social phenomena. That was my error when I sought the "true" explanation in the pub. The custom of the round has both economic and social advantages, and it is likely that both help to account for its prevalence and persistence. The earnest missionaries and misanthropic economists who want to shut festivals down because they damage the economy have missed the point that the prospective enjoyment of such events is the reason we engage in economic activity in the first place.

The economists who argue that the rationale of the family is found in cost savings have a point. Two together can live more cheaply than two separately, if not as cheaply as one. But anyone who thinks the quest for scale economies is the primary explanation of the human desire for family life is strangely deficient in observational capacity, as well as common sense.

The "economics of the family" is a prime example of an economic imperialism that seeks to account for all behaviour through a distorted concept of rationality, an extreme example of economists' notorious physics envy. Some models developed in physics demonstrate a combination of simplicity and wide explanatory power so remarkable that it makes no sense to think about the world in any other way.

But such powerful explanations are rarely available in other natural sciences, and almost never in social sciences. Even the visit to the bar is governed by a complex and tacit collection of social conventions. How do you know that you have bought the beer but only rented the glass?

So if you want to understand, say, the 2007-08 financial crisis, your approach must be eclectic. You need to work through standard economic models of financial markets because without them you cannot appreciate how many market participants – and most regulators – think. But you also need the perspectives of journalists, historians and psychologists. And, of course, you need the anthropological insight that accounts for the peculiarity of human institutions, whether you are dealing with the pub, potlatch or trading floor.

johnkay@johnkay.com